

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/11
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):** | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | C | Overall |
| | | | | PJs in State: | 93 | | |
| Program Progress: | | | | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 93.18 % | 96.62 % | 65 | 97.21 % | 22 | 22 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 82.72 % | 89.62 % | 74 | 90.53 % | 16 | 14 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 2.35 | 5.82 | 63 | 4.97 | 35 | 29 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 81.70 % | 1 | 85.01 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 100.00 % | 73.78 % | 1 | 73.71 % | 99 | 99 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 54.49 % | 82.03 % | 86 | 81.48 % | 9 | 6 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 9.62 % | 41.00 % | 85 | 45.54 % | 4 | 0 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 96.79 % | 96.50 % | 56 | 96.14 % | 33 | 33 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 51 / 93 | Nationally: | 38 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$33,368 | \$37,964 | | \$28,248 | 156 Units | 83.00 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$0 | \$21,889 | | \$15,487 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$15,790 | \$27,610 | | \$0 | 32 Units | 17.00 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$2,651 | | \$3,211 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Monterey Park CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$111,883 | \$0 | \$16,786 |
| State:* | \$143,646 | \$117,519 | \$29,047 |
| National:** | \$101,183 | \$78,322 | \$24,013 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.0 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.09

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 1.3 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 7.9 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 85.4 | 0.0 | 59.4 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 53.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 3.3 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 2.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 1.3 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 39.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 6.0 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 77.5 | 0.0 | 31.3 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 2.0 | 0.0 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 15.9 | 0.0 | 40.6 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 2.6 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | Other: | 35.8 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 2.0 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 62.3 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | | 75 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Monterey Park State: CA Group Rank: 38
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 51 / 93 PJs Overall Rank: 0
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 2 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|------------|---|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 79.77% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 57.73% | 100 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 54.49 |  |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.23% | 96.79 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.200 | 2.4 |  |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

